PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	1	0	VOV	2005
WIPO				PCT

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	1						
PC23170A	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form		See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/IB2004/004049	International filing date 06.12.2004	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.12.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K31/00, A61K31/427, A61K31/425, A61K31/4015, A61K31/4025, A61K31/566, A61P19/10							
Applicant PFIZER PRODUCTS INC. et al.							
This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and train	liminary examination in a smitted to the application in the second secon	report, established by t ant according to Article	this International Preliminary Examining 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	of 7 sheets, including	this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied b	y ANNEXES, compris	ing:					
a. \square sent to the applicant and to		· ·					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
☐ sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but v in the international ap	vhich this Authority co plication as filed, as in	nsiders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
This report contains indications re-	lating to the following	items:	·				
☑ Box No. I Basis of the opin	ion						
Box No. II Priority	1011						
	ent of opinion with req	ard to novelty inventiv	e step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of i		ara to novolty, involut	o otop and maderial applicability				
☑ Box No. V Reasoned state	ment under Article 35(2) with regard to novel supporting such state	ty, inventive step or industrial ement				
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume	nts cited						
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects i	n the international app	lication					
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observat	tions on the internation	nal application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of t	hls report				
17.01.2005		09.11.2005					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	estudat Pilacian.				
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d		Greif, G					
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89	2399-				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004049

_					
_	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
1.	With regard filed, unles	Vith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wat led, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	which □ inte □ pul	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) elication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets v have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in the report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>					
	Description	, Pages			
	1-50	as originally filed			
	Claims, Nu	nbers			
	1-14	as originally filed			
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	Supplemen the the the the the	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below en made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the tal Box (Rule 70.2(c)). description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
	* If ite	em 4 applies. some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded "			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004049

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
1.	The	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international application,				
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-14 (in parts)				
		because:				
	×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-14 (with respect to IA only) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	☒	the claims, or said claims Nos. 1-11 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.				
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
		the written form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
	<u>.</u>	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
		See separate sheet for further	detai	ds		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004049

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No:

. . .

Claims

Claims

Yes: Claims

12-14

Inventive step (IS)

No: Claims

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11 (no opinion)

No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1. Claims 1-14 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).
- Claims 1-6 refer to a great number of possible compounds falling under the definitions of Formula I. Claims 1-8, 10 and 11 refer to a great number of possible estrogens.
 - Support for the claimed effect, however, is only given for a specific combination of claim 12.
 - Synergy is an unpredictable characteristic of a mixture, occurring under particular conditions (for example in a particular amount of the individual components) which must be proved by appropriate experimental results for each individual mixture. As far as the present application isconcerned, it is thus only convincingly demostrated for the combination of claim 12. It follows that the only claims supported by the description are claims 12-14.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US 2003/149086 A1
 - D4: ARRENBRECHT S ET AL: "Effects of transdermal estradiol delivered by a matrix patch on bone density in hysterectomized, postmenopausal women: a 2-year placebo-controlled trial." OSTEOPOROSIS INTERNATIONAL: A JOURNAL ESTABLISHED AS RESULT OF COOPERATION BETWEEN THE EUROPEAN FOUNDATION FOR OSTEOPOROSIS AND THE NATIONAL OSTEOPOROSIS FOUNDATION OF THE USA. 2002, vol. 13, no. 2, 2002,

pages 176-183

2. Novelty

D1 discloses compounds of formula I for the treatment of conditions with low bone mass, such as osteoporosis (claims), and also refers to methods for treating vertebrates with low bone mass with an amount of compound of formula I in combination with other active compounds, such as an estrogen agonist/antagonist as preferred combination (Paragraphs 64-113). Also disclosed are kits comprising the two classes of compounds. The specific compounds of claim 7 are also disclosed in claim 9 of D1.

The above-raised objections notwithstanding, claims 1-14 are novel over the prior art, since synergistic combinations are not disclosed.

3. Inventive Step

- 3.1. D2 discloses the anti-bone loss effect of 17-beta-estradiol (abstract). All compounds specifically claimed are thus shown in the prior art to have the claimed effect in the absence of any combination.
- 3.2. Considering the lack of experimental data over the breath of the claims, claims 1-11 are not considered to be inventive, since it has not been shown by the applicant that this synergistic effect has been obtained with a convincing number of possible combinations.
 - The applicant is furthermore reminded that synergistic effects are not predictable and are, per definition, unexpected. Therefore, even if the applicant has shown a synergistic effect for a specific combination, this would not imply that other claimed combination for which no synergy has been shown, would be considered as involving an inventive step.
- 3.3. Inventive step can be acknowledged for claims 12-14, for which a synergistic effect has been shown.

4. Industrial applicability

For the assessment of the present claims 1-14 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/004049

example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.